

SECRET

Executive Registry

80-1670

17 July 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Secretary of State,
16 July 1980

25X1

25X1C



3. I had a good opportunity to discuss the PRC(I) on the NITs and to explain our approach on them. I forgot to put in the Brzezinski memo that I cleared with him sending a memo to the PRC(I) members explaining the new approach to the NITs rather than having Brzezinski send a memo. Having explained it to both Muskie and Brzezinski, I'm not sure whether I should send one now or not. I'll leave that up to others to decide.

Ron Spiers indicated that for the PRC(I) on the NITs and budget they had been notified that it was to be principals only. I told him that was our view for the NITs, but we should appeal to the NSC to open it up for the budget. Muskie was quite interested in the budget part of it.

25X1

25X1C



Rvw on 17 July 1986

25X1

State Dept. review completed

SECRET

SECRET

5. We had a long discussion on the nondisclosure agreement. He had a point paper from his staff there. They are very uptight about the phrase "any mention of intelligence data or activities relating to sensitive compartmented information." The paper he had gave him the interpretation that this meant that if a Foreign Service officer wrote anything about the Senate Foreign Relations Committee's views on SALT II it would have to be cleared since there would be compartmented information that dealt with SALT II. Other parts of this point paper for the Secretary simply stated that every article a Foreign Service officer would write would have to be cleared. The question arose as to whether State's lawyers and our lawyers have gone over this together. I'd like to know that, and if not they ought to get together ASAP. I'd like a more full explanation of what the above quotation really means. I tried to explain it but didn't make a lot of headway, I'm afraid. We ought to look at whether there is any other wording we can provide here that will make it more clear that the scope of this is not as all-inclusive as State tries to make out.

I haven't done anything more with Justice. If there has been no change in their position, we'd better sign me up to take this up with Civiletti also. Muskie agreed to get into it in more detail and get back to me.

6. We also had a long discussion on the polygraph issue. His point paper revealed that the DoD position is that it's not legal to polygraph Marines because of some "parallel court ruling."

The State Department position was that it may not be legal to do the Foreign Service officers; the Foreign Service Association is opposed to it; and there's no point in doing U.S. persons if we don't do the third nationals who work in the building. I gave counter-arguments to all of these points. Muskie said he'd look into it.

25X6
25X1

25X1A

STANSFELD TURNER
Director

SECRET

25X1A

Approved For Release 2004/11/03 : CIA-RDP81B00401R002300380001-8

Approved For Release 2004/11/03 : CIA-RDP81B00401R002300380001-8

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT (O/DCI)

Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	DD/RM				
4	DD/NFA	3	1,2,9		
5	DD/CT		9,11		
6	DD/A				
7	DD/O		1,2,4,7,8,9,10		
8	DD/S&T		11		
9	GC	5			
10	LC				
11	IG				
12	Compt.				
13	D/PA				
14	D/EO				
15	D/Pers.				
16	AO/DCI				
17	C/IPS				
18	BY/NSC		X		
19	OPA/LA		2		
20	C/LA/DDO	2	4		
21	SA/DCI/Comp	5			
22	SA/DCI/CI		6		
SUSPENSE DATE:					
FS y					

Remarks:

Paragraphs extracted for action or information as indicated above.

Executive Secretary